

### REMARKS

In response to the action of May 7, 2007, applicants asks that all claims be allowed in view of the amendments to the claims and the following remarks.

Claims 3, 4, 9, 10, 15, 16, 21, 22 and 27-40 are now pending, of which claims 3, 4, 29 and 30 are independent. Claims 1, 2, 5-8, 11-14, 17-20 and 23-26 have been cancelled, and claims 29-40 have been added. Support for the new claims may be found in the application, for example, in original claims 3, 4, 7, 13 and 19; page 10, lines 3-8 and page 20, lines 15-22. No new matter has been introduced.

Applicant acknowledges with appreciation that the Examiner's allowance of claims 3, 4, 9, 10, 15, 16, 21, 22, 27 and 28.

Claims 1 and 2 were rejected under as being unpatentable over Aziz (U.S. Patent No. 7,169,482) in view of Wu (U.S. Patent No. 7,061,555 B2). Claims 7, 8, 13, 14, 19 and 20 were rejected as being unpatentable over Aziz in view of Wu and Kaspar (U.S. Patent No. 5,631,638). Claims 11, 12, 17, 18, 23 and 24 were rejected as being unpatentable over Wu and Kasper. Claims 5 and 6 were rejected as being anticipated by Wu. All rejected claims have been cancelled, rendering moot the rejections.

New independent claim 29 recites a display device including a substrate, a reflection coating film over the substrate, and a first insulating film over the reflection coating film. The display device also includes a first light-transmissive electrode over the first insulating film and a second light-transmissive electrode over the first light-transmissive electrode with a luminescent material sandwiched therebetween. The display device further includes a second insulating film over the second light-transmissive electrode, and a photovoltaic conversion element over the second insulating film.

Applicant asserts that claim 29, and its dependent claims 31, 33, 35, 37 and 39, are allowable, at least for the reason of reciting subject matter similar to allowed claims 3 and 4. For example, claim 29 recites a display device having a first light-transmissive electrode over a first insulating film and a second light-transmissive electrode over the first light-transmissive electrode with a luminescent material sandwiched therebetween.

New independent claim 30 recites a display device including a substrate, a reflection coating film over the substrate, a first insulating film over the reflection coating film, and a transistor formed over the first insulating film. The display device also includes a first light-transmissive electrode over the first insulating film and electrically connected to the transistor, and a second light-transmissive electrode over the first light-transmissive electrode with a luminescent material sandwiched therebetween. The display device further includes a second insulating film over the second light-transmissive electrode, and a photovoltaic conversion element over the second insulating film.

Applicant asserts that claim 30, and its dependent claims 32, 34, 36, 38 and 40, are allowable, at least for the reason of reciting subject matter similar to allowed claims 3 and 4. For example, claim 30 recites a display device having a first light-transmissive electrode over a first insulating film and a second light-transmissive electrode over the first light-transmissive electrode with a luminescent material sandwiched therebetween.

Therefore, applicant submits that all claims are in condition for allowance.

It is believed that all of the pending issues have been addressed. However, the absence of a reply to a specific rejection, issue or comment does not signify agreement with or concession of that rejection, issue or comment. In addition, because the arguments made above may not be exhaustive, there may be reasons for patentability of any or all pending claims (or other claims) that have not been expressed. Finally, nothing in this reply should be construed as an intent to concede any issue with regard to any claim, except as specifically stated in this reply, and the amendment of any claim does not necessarily signify concession of unpatentability of the claim prior to its amendment.

Applicant : Yasuko Watanabe et al.  
Serial No. : 10/820,810  
Filed : April 9, 2004  
Page : 9 of 9

Attorney's Docket No.: 12732-227001 / US7089

No fee is believed to be due in connection with the filing of this paper on the Electronic Filing System (EFS). In the event that any fees are due, please apply any charges or credits to deposit account 06-1050.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: August 7, 2007

Barbara A. Benoit  
Barbara A. Benoit  
Reg. No. 54,777

**Customer No.: 26171**  
Fish & Richardson P.C.  
1425 K Street, N.W.  
11th Floor  
Washington, DC 20005-3500  
Telephone: (202) 783-5070  
Facsimile: (202) 783-2331

40421439.doc